IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMES BUCLARY 1160 Medway Dr.	: : CIVIL ACTION
Philadelphia, PA 19115	·
Plaintiff,	: No
v.	: :
RSC RESTYLING SPECIALISTS, INC. 3920 Nebraska Ave.	: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Levittown, PA 19056	:
Defendant.	: : .:

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by James Buclary (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) against RSC Restyling Specialist, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" unless indicated otherwise) for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA" - 42 USC §§ 12101 et seq.) and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"). As a direct consequence of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a) (4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and

¹ Plaintiff's claim under the PHRA is referenced herein for notice purposes. He is required to wait 1 full year before initiating a lawsuit from date of dual-filing with the EEOC. Plaintiff must however file his lawsuit in advance of same because of the date of issuance of his federal right-to-sue letter under the ADA. Plaintiff's PHRA claims however will mirror identically his federal claims under the ADA.

seeks redress for violations of federal laws. There lies supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claims asserted herein.

- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in *International Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district, and Defendant is deemed to reside where it is subject to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendant a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 7. Defendant RSC Restyling Specialists, Inc. ("Defendant") is an automotive service company located at the above-captioned address.
- 8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 9. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 10. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant in or about September of 2016 as a driver.
- 11. Defendant engages in providing custom work to auto dealerships through Pennsylvania and New Jersey.
- 12. Therefore, as part of Plaintiff's job duties, he would be required to drive either a customer's vehicle to and from various auto dealerships or the "chase car," which would supply another driver with a ride back from the dealership after delivering a customer's car or vice versa.
- 13. While employed with Defendant, Plaintiff was a dedicated and hard-working employee who performed his job well and lacked any disciplinary history.
- 14. Further, Plaintiff went above and beyond his normal job duties to complete tasks that other employees refused to do.
- 15. Since in or about 2007, Plaintiff has and continues to suffer from disabilities related to his knee, back and foot, which at times, limits his ability to perform some major life activities, including but not limited to sitting, standing, walking, bending, and performing manual tasks.
- 16. Despite Plaintiff's aforesaid health conditions and limitations, he was still able to perform the duties of his job well with Defendant; however, Plaintiff did require reasonable accommodations on occasion.

- 17. For example, as a result of his knee condition, Plaintiff cannot fully bend his left knee, which requires him to sit in the front seat of a vehicle, as he cannot physically sit in the back seat of most vehicles.
- 18. Therefore, Plaintiff would often times ask to sit in the front seat of a vehicle while working for Defendant, as he could not physically sit in the back seat. In response, Plaintiff's coworkers took issue with this accommodation and his health conditions and would harass him for same.
- 19. Plaintiff also requested further accommodations for his aforesaid disabilities from Defendant's management while employed with Defendant.
- 20. For example, during the last full week of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, Plaintiff asked Driver Supervisor, Chris (last name unknown) if he could drive the chase car for a short period of time due to a flare up related to his aforesaid back condition. Chris agreed to Plaintiff's temporary accommodation request.
- 21. After Plaintiff's accommodation was granted, Plaintiff's co-workers began to question whether Plaintiff's accommodation of driving the chase car was permanent, to which Plaintiff responded that it was not.
- 22. On or about February 14, 2017, within three working days after requesting a reasonable accommodation (of driving he chase car for a brief period of time), Plaintiff was informed by Chris and Andy Kaplan (General Manager) that he was being terminated from his employment with Respondent because some of the drivers had complained that he was driving too fast.
- 23. At no point in time during Plaintiff's employment with Defendant did Defendant's management ever disciple or counsel Plaintiff about his driving.

- 24. Further, the allegation that Plaintiff was driving too fast, is absurd and inaccurate.
- 25. Following his termination from Defendant, Plaintiff asked to meet with Craig (last name unknown Owner) and Joe (last name unknown Owner) in an attempt to get his job back. At first, Defendant's management resisted a meeting with Plaintiff and the owners; however, after Plaintiff asked again a few days later, he was granted a meeting with Craig, Joe and Chris, which was scheduled for February 21, 2017.
- 26. During Plaintiff's meeting with Craig, Joe, and Chris on or about February 21, 2017, Plaintiff expressed why he believed his termination was unfair and discriminatory; however, Plaintiff's concerns were ignored by Defendant's management.
- 27. Plaintiff was thereafter informed by Craig via telephone that his termination would stand.
- 28. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that he was terminated from Defendant due to his actual/perceived/record of disabilities and/or in retaliation for requesting reasonable accommodations.

COUNTI

Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act "ADA" ([1] Actual/Perceived/Record of Disability Discrimination; and [2] Retaliation)

- 29. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 30. Plaintiff has properly exhausted his administrative remedies with respect to his ADA claims by timely filing a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and by filing the instant lawsuit within ninety (90) days of receiving a notice of dismissal and/or right to sue letter from the EEOC.

- 31. Plaintiff suffered from qualifying health conditions under the ADA (as amended), which (at times) affected his ability to perform some daily life activities (as discussed *supra*).
- 32. Plaintiff requested reasonable accommodations during his employment with Defendant, including but not limited to sitting in the front seat of vehicles as much as possible (versus the back seat) and the ability to solely drive the chase care for a brief period of time.
- 33. Shortly after Plaintiff's last request for an accommodation, he was terminated from his employment with Defendant for completely pretextual reasons.
- 34. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that he was terminated from Defendant because of: (1) his known and/or perceived disabilities; (2) his record of impairment; and/or (3) because he requested accommodations, which constitutes unlawful retaliation.
 - 35. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the ADA, as amended.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:
- A. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, bonuses, insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement, and seniority.
- B. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- C. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper, and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress / pain and suffering);

- D. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law; and
 - E. Plaintiff is to be given a jury trial as demanded in the caption of this Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq. 3331 Street Road

Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020 (215) 639-0801

Dated: July 27, 2017

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Telephone	FAX Nun	nber	E-Mail Address			
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4	970	akarpf@karpf-law.com			
Date	Attorney-	nt-law	Attorney for			
7/27/2017		<u>></u>	Plaintiff			
(f) Standard Management	Cases that do no	ot fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(X)		
commonly referred to the court. (See reverse management cases.)	as complex and the side of this form	at need spec for a detaild	cks (a) through (d) that are pial or intense management by d explanation of special	()		
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	lving claims for pe	ersonal inju	ry or property damage from	()		
(c) Arbitration - Cases rec	quired to be design	nated for arb	itration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()		
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CA	ASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:			
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other p to which that defendant be	Case Management free a copy on all de cevent that a defe t shall, with its firs parties, a Case Mar lieves the case sho	Track Designed Track Designed Indents (Sundant does at appearance aggement Tould be assigned Indents I		me of verse said ve on		
RSC RESTYLING SPE	CIALISTS, INC.	; ;	NO.			
, v.		:				
JAMES BUCL	ARY :		CIVILACION			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Services control of the service of t

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Address of Plaintiff: 1160 Medway Drive, Philadelphia, PA 19115					
Address of Defendant: 3920 Nebraska Avenue, Levittown, PA 19056					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business					
(Usa Reverse Side For	Additional Space)				
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation					
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yos□ No X				
Does this case involve multidistrict lidgation possibilities?	Yosa No X				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	•				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ;	year previously terminated action in this court?				
	Yes□ No□ .				
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	suit pending or within one year previously terminated				
action in this court?	YesO NoO				
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier					
terminated action in this court?	Yes No				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigi					
•	Yes D NoD				
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
1. D Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. D Insurance Contract and Other Contracts				
2. D FELA	2. D Airplane Personal Injury				
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation				
4. □ Antitrust	4. D Marine Personal Injury				
	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury				
5. □ Patent 6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. O Other Personal Injury (Please specify)				
`	7. Products Liability				
7. M Civil Rights	8. © Products Liability — Asbestos				
8. □ Habeas Corpus	•				
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases				
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)				
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)					
(f total alberta)					
ARBITRATION CERT					
Ari R. Karpf , counsel of record do hereby cont	ify:				
M Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of				
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;					
	1 *****				
DATE:	ARK2484				
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial do novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# 91538				
MOTE: Vittal de novo mil oe a tuni oà linà onià it in	ore that been comprisince with representations.				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not pelated to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court				
except as noted above.					
(
DATE: 7/27/2017	ARK2484 Attorney 1.D.# 91538				

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

1. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIO	INS ON NEXT PAGE OF	F THIS FOI	DEFENDANT	rs		 			
BUCLARY, JAMES				RSC RESTYLING SPECIALISTS, INC.						
(b) County of Residence of	ACEPTINUS. PLAINTIFF CASE, Address, and Telephone Number) P.C.; 3331 Street Road,	Two Greenwood		County of Resident NOTE: IN LAND (THE TRAC Attorneys (If Known	(IN U.S. CONDEMNAT CT OF LAND I	ited Defendant <u>I</u> PLAINTIFF CASES O TON CASES, USE TI NVOLVED.		F		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One E	Box (Only)		TIZENSHIP OF		AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in C	ne Box for	Plaintif f	
i U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			For Diversity Cases Only, n of This State	PTF DEF	Incorporated or Prin		r Defendan PTF 4	<i>I)</i> DEF 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship o	f Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2 2	Incorporated and Proof Business In a		5	5	
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		6	6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	8		RESTURBER		k here for: Nature (of Suit Code Des		5	
3 110 Insurance 3 120 Marine 5 130 Marine 5 130 Miller Act 5 140 Negotiable Instrument 6 150 Recovery of Overpayment 6 Enforcement of Judgment 7 151 Medicare Act 7 152 Recovery of Defaulted 8 Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 8 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 9 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 9 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 9 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 9 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 9 245 Tort Product Liability 9 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Y 0 62 0 69 XTY 0 71 0 72 0 74 751 0 79	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other Description of Property 21 USC 881 Other Description of Property 21 USC 881 Description of Property 21	* 422 Apj * 423 Wit * 28 ** PROP! 820 Cop 830 Pat 0 835 Pat Net 9 840 Top 861 HJA 862 Bla * 863 DlW 864 SSI * 865 RSI 870 Tay 0 871 Tay 26	ceal 28 USC 158 hdrawaf USC 157 RTYRIGHTS syrights ent ent - Abbreviated w Drug Application demark LSECURITY (1395ff) ck Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	0 375 False CI 376 Qui Tam 3729(a) 0 400 State Rea 0 410 Antitrust 1 430 Banks an 0 450 Commen 0 470 Racketee Corrupt C 2 480 Consume 0 490 Cable/Sa 0 850 Securities Exchang 0 890 Other Str 0 891 Agricult 0 893 Environm 0 895 Freedom Act 0 896 Arbitratic	aims Act (31 USC)) pportionme d Banking ce ion ir Influencec cryganization or Credit 2 TV (Commodit ig stuttory Actional Acts mental Matter of Informat on rative Proce we or Appe Decision ionality of	d and as	
Xi Original J 2 Re	moved from 3 3 Re tte Court Ar	pellate Court		nened Anol (spec		J 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	1-	Multidist Litigation Direct File	۱-	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statut Americans with Dis Brief description of cause Violations of the AI	sabilities Act "Al	DA" 42U	JSC12101						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Ü CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 23, I	A CLASS ACTION		EMAND S		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND		complaint 'No	:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	UDGE		·	DOCK	ETNUMBER			<u> </u>	
DATE 7/27/2017		SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNEYO	FRECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AI	HOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE			

Print

Save As...

Reset